

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	4.3	Paragraph	4.3.B 4.3.2	Policy	SC5 and WD1 B
---------	-----	-----------	----------------	--------	------------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	X

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Insufficient provision for schooling – both now and the more so if development takes place.

The need to increase the capacity of Ilkley Grammar School has long been recognised by the council and also the governors of the now independent Academy. In fact an earlier earmarked site in Ben Rhydding is now designated as a potential site for new homes. If this is to be the case there is no evidence as to where a rebuilt or an additional secondary school could be sited if the prime development land is allocated for housing.

At present the 'sixth forms' of IGS are only open to students with 5 or more B grade GCSEs. There is no in valley provision for vocational training. Where are all the now required to continue to 18 in fulltime education to attend?

Students resident in Menston already attend schools in Leeds City area, as do Wharfedale students desiring a Catholic based secondary education. Since many new home sites have recently been approved for Leeds adjoining the BMDC boundary where will all the existing & additional young people be educated?

Similarly all the primary schools are full, even the most recent crisis relieved by an extension to Ashlands PS but this land allocation makes no provision for additional children from new housing.

If more students travel out of the valley this puts additional demand on crowded transport & roads and not sustainable.

Similarly if all the prime land is allocated for housing, where will the **additional** recreational provision and open space be situated? (WD 1 para B)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

If additional housing is considered necessary, then identify and make provision for siting a new additional, or enlarged and relocated, secondary school with sufficient facilities to be able to offer a full range of courses.

Similarly identify where additional primary schooling should be provided commensurate with additional no of children in the new housing.

And identify where and make provision for the additional recreational provision and also where the public open space is to be situated.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input type="checkbox"/>	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To reiterate the reasons give above...

Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

[Redacted Signature]

Date:

30.3.14